EE 570: Location and Navigation On-Line Bayesian Tracking

Aly El-Osery

Electrical Engineering Department, New Mexico Tech Socorro, New Mexico, USA

April 11, 2011

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 1 / 30

Sequentially estimate on-line the states of a system as it changes over time using observations that are corrupted with noise.

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 2 / 30

• • • • • • • • • • • •

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{f}}_{k}(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}, \vec{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k-1}) \tag{1}$$

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{z}}_k = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{h}}_k(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_k, \vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_k)$$
 (2)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

■ ★ ■ ★ ■ ● ○ Q C April 11, 2011 3 / 30

 $(n \times 1)$ state vector at time k

$$\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{f}}_{k}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k-1}) \tag{1}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{k} = \mathbf{h}_{k}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{k})$$
(2)

 $(m \times 1)$ measurement vector at time k

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 3 / 30

THE 1 A

Possibly non-linear function, $\mathbf{f}_k: \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^{n_w} \mapsto \mathfrak{R}^n$

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k} = \underbrace{\mathbf{f}_{k}}_{\mathbf{k}} (\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}, \vec{\mathbf{w}}_{k-1})$$
(1)

$$\vec{z}_k = \mathbf{h}_k(\vec{x}_k, \vec{v}_k)$$

Possibly non-linear function, / $\mathbf{h}_k : \mathfrak{R}^m \times \mathfrak{R}^{n_v} \mapsto \mathfrak{R}^m$

3 + 4 = +



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 3/30

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{f}}_{k}(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}, \vec{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k-1}) \tag{1}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{z}}_k = \mathbf{h}_k(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k, \vec{\mathbf{v}}_k)$$
 (2)

The state process is Markov chain, i.e., $p(\vec{x}_k | \vec{x}_1, ..., \vec{x}_{k-1}) = p(\vec{x}_k | \vec{x}_{k-1})$ and the distribution of \vec{z}_k conditional on the state \vec{x}_k is independent of previous state and measurement values, i.e., $p(\vec{z}_k | \vec{x}_{1:k}, \vec{z}_{1:k-1}) = p(\vec{z}_k | \vec{x}_k)$

Objective

Probabilistically estimate \vec{x}_k using previous measurement $\vec{z}_{1:k}$. In other words, construct the pdf $p(\vec{x}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k})$.

Objective

Probabilistically estimate \vec{x}_k using previous measurement $\vec{z}_{1:k}$. In other words, construct the pdf $p(\vec{x}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k})$.

Optimal MMSE Estimate

$$\mathbb{E}\{\|\vec{x}_{k} - \hat{\vec{x}}_{k}\|^{2}\} = \int \|\vec{x}_{k} - \hat{\vec{x}}_{k}\|^{2} p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{z}_{1:k}) d\vec{x}_{k}$$
(3)

in other words find the conditional mean

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_k = \mathbb{E}\{\vec{x}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k}\} = \int \vec{x}_k \rho(\vec{x}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k}) d\vec{x}_k$$
(4)

Prediction Stage

$$p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1}) = \int p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{x}_{k-1}) p(\vec{x}_{k-1}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1}) d\vec{x}_{k-1}$$
(5)

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

■ ▶ ব ≣ ▶ ≣ ৩৭৫ April 11, 2011 5/30

Prediction Stage

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1}) = \int (\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}) p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1}) d\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}$$
(5)
defined using the state equation

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

불▶ ◀ 불▶ 불 ∽ ९.여 April 11, 2011 5 / 30

Prediction Stage

$$p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1}) = \int p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{x}_{k-1}) \quad p(\vec{x}_{k-1}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1}) d\vec{x}_{k-1}$$
(5)
Assumed available from previous iteration

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

■ ▶ ◀ ■ ▶ ■ 夕々の April 11, 2011 5/30

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Using Bayes' Rule

$$p(\vec{x}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k}) = \frac{p(\vec{z}_k | \vec{x}_k) \ p(\vec{x}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k-1})}{p(\vec{z}_k | \vec{z}_{1:k-1})}$$

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 6 / 30

æ

• • • • • • • • • • • •

(6)

Using Bayes' Rule



(6)

Using Bayes' Rule

$$p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{z}_{1:k}) = \frac{p(\vec{z}_{k}|\vec{x}_{k})p(\vec{x}_{k}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1})}{p(\vec{z}_{k}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1})}$$
(6)

likelihood: defined by the measurement equation and the statistics of the measurement noise \vec{v}_k

Using Bayes' Rule

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k}) = \frac{p(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_k | \vec{\mathbf{x}}_k) \left(p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1}) \right)}{p(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_k | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1})}$$

prior: defined by the state equation and the statistics of the state noise \vec{w}_{k-1}

★ ∃ ► 4

(6)

Using Bayes' Rule

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k}) = \frac{p(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}) \quad p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1})}{p(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1})}$$
(6)
evidence = $\int p(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}) p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1}) d\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}$
and depends on the likelihood function $p(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k})$

æ

Limitations

- Need to keep track of all previous states.
- Generally can't be determined analytically.

-

• \vec{w}_k and \vec{v}_k are drawn from a Gaussian distribution, uncorrelated have zero mean and statistically independent.

$$\mathbb{E}\{\vec{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\vec{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{T}\} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}_{k} & i = k\\ 0 & i \neq k \end{cases}$$
(7)
$$\mathbb{E}\{\vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_{k}\vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{T}\} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{R}_{k} & i = k\\ 0 & i \neq k \end{cases}$$
(8)
$$\mathbb{E}\{\vec{\boldsymbol{w}}_{k}\vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{T}\} = \begin{cases} 0 \quad \forall i, k \end{cases}$$
(9)

f_k and **h**_k are both linear, e.g., the state-space system equations may be written as

$$\vec{x}_{k} = \Phi_{k-1} \vec{x}_{k-1} + \vec{w}_{k-1}$$
 (10)

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{y}}_k = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_k \; \vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_k + \vec{\boldsymbol{v}}_k \tag{11}$$

 f_k and h_k are both linear, e.g., the state-space system equations may be written as

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k} = \Phi_{k-1} \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1} + \vec{\mathbf{w}}_{k-1}$$
(10)
$$\vec{\mathbf{y}}_{k} = \mathbf{H}_{k} \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k} + \vec{\mathbf{v}}_{k}$$
(11)

 $(n \times n)$ transition matrix relating \vec{x}_{k-1} to \vec{x}_k

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 9 / 30

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

 f_k and h_k are both linear, e.g., the state-space system equations may be written as

$$\vec{x}_{k} = \Phi_{k-1} \vec{x}_{k-1} + \vec{w}_{k-1}$$
 (10)



 $(m \times n)$ matrix provides noiseless connection between measurement and state vectors

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 9 / 30

pdf notation

$$p(\vec{x}_{k-1}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{x}_{k-1}; \vec{m}_{k-1|k-1}, \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1})$$
(12)

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_k|\vec{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1:k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_k; \vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1}, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}_{k|k-1})$$
(13)

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k; \vec{\mathbf{m}}_{k|k}, \mathbf{P}_{k|k})$$
(14)

where

$$\vec{m}_{k|k-1} = \Phi_{k-1}\vec{m}_{k-1|k-1}$$
 (15)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \Phi_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \Phi_{k-1}^{T}$$
(16)

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k} = \vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}_{k}(\vec{\boldsymbol{z}}_{k} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_{k}\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1}) \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1}$$
(18)

■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ 夕々で April 11, 2011 10 / 30

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

pdf notation

a priori error covariance (E{(
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1|k-1} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1})(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1|k-1} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1})^T$$
).
Diagonal terms are the variances in the state estimates
off-diagonal show correlation between the errors in the different states

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1} | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}; \vec{\mathbf{m}}_{k-1|k-1} - \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1})$$
(12)

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k; \vec{\mathbf{m}}_{k|k-1}, \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1})$$
(13)

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k | \vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_k; \vec{\mathbf{m}}_{k|k}, \mathbf{P}_{k|k})$$
(14)

where

$$\vec{m}_{k|k-1} = \Phi_{k-1}\vec{m}_{k-1|k-1}$$
 (15)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \Phi_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \Phi_{k-1}^{T}$$
(16)

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k} = \vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}_{k}(\vec{\boldsymbol{z}}_{k} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_{k}\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1}) \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1}$$
(18)

2

pdf notation

$$p(\vec{x}_{k-1}|\vec{z}_{1:k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{x}_{k-1}; \vec{m}_{k-1|k-1}, \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1})$$
(12)

$$\boldsymbol{p}(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_k | \vec{\boldsymbol{z}}_{1:k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_k; \vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1}, \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}_{k|k-1})$$
(13)

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}|\vec{\mathbf{z}}_{1:k}) = \mathcal{N}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{k}; \vec{\mathbf{m}}_{k|k}, \mathbf{P}_{k|k})$$
(14)

posterior error covariance ($\mathbb{E}\{(\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k} - \vec{x}_k)(\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k} - \vec{x}_k)^T\})$

where

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1} \vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k-1|k-1} \tag{15}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \Phi_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \Phi_{k-1}^{T}$$
(16)

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k} = \vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{K}}_{k}(\vec{\boldsymbol{z}}_{k} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_{k}\vec{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k|k-1}) \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1}$$
(18)

April 11, 2011 10 / 30

æ

State-Space Equations

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} = \Phi_{k-1}\hat{\vec{x}}_{k-1|k-1}$$
 (19)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \Phi_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \Phi_{k-1}^{T}$$
(20)

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k} = \hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} + K_k (\vec{z}_k - H_k \hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1})$$
 (21)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1})$$
(22)

æ 11/30 April 11, 2011

3 1 4 3

State-Space Equations

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} = \Phi_{k-1}\hat{\vec{x}}_{k-1|k-1}$$
 (19)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T}$$
(20)

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k} = \hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} + \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_{k}}_{\mathbf{K}} (\vec{z}_{k} - \mathbf{H}_{k} \hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1})$$
(21)

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1})$$
(22)

 $(n \times m)$ Kalman gain

April 11, 2011 11 / 30

State-Space Equations

$$\hat{\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}}_{k|k-1} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1}\hat{\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}}_{k-1|k-1} \tag{19}$$

Image: A matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(20)

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k} = \hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} + K_{k} (\vec{z}_{k} - H_{k} \hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1})$$

$$P_{k|k} = P_{k|k-1} (I - K_{k} H_{k} P_{k|k-1})$$
(21)
(22)

Measurement innovation

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 11 / 30

Kalman Gain

$$\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} (\mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k})^{-1}$$
(23)

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 12 / 30

2

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Kalman Gain

$$\mathbf{K}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} (\mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{k})^{-1}$$
Covariance of the innovation term

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 12 / 30

2

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

(23)

Kalman filter data flow

Initial estimate $(\hat{\vec{x}}_0 \text{ and } \mathbf{P}_0)$

æ

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Kalman filter data flow



April 11, 2011 13 / 30

æ

Kalman filter data flow



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 13 / 30

э

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Kalman filter data flow



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 13 / 30

э

<ロト < 四ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Kalman filter data flow



April 11, 2011 13 / 30

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Kalman filter data flow



EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 13 / 30

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Observability

The system is observable if the observability matrix

$$\mathcal{O}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}(k-n+1) \\ \mathbf{H}(k-n-2)\mathbf{\Phi}(k-n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{\Phi}(k-1)\dots\mathbf{\Phi}(k-n+1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

where *n* is the number of states, has a rank of *n*. The rank of O is a binary indicator and does **not** provide a measure of how close the system is to being unobservable, hence, is prone to numerical ill-conditioning.

A Better Observability Measure

In addition to the computation of the rank of $\mathcal{O}(k)$, compute the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of $\mathcal{O}(k)$ as

$$\mathcal{O} = U\Sigma V^* \tag{25}$$

and observe the diagonal values of the matrix Σ . Using this approach it is possible to monitor the variations in the system observability due to changes in system dynamics.

Remarks

- Kalman filter is optimal under the aforementioned assumptions,
- and it is also an unbiased and minimum variance estimate.
- If the Gaussian assumptions is not true, Kalman filter is biased and not minimum variance.
- Observability is dynamics dependent.
- The error covariance update may be implemented using the *Joseph form* which provides a more stable solution due to the guaranteed symmetry.

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{k|k} = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{K}_k \boldsymbol{H}_k) \, \boldsymbol{P}_{k|k-1} \, (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{K}_k \boldsymbol{H}_k)^T + \boldsymbol{K}_k \boldsymbol{R}_k \boldsymbol{K}_k^T \qquad (26)$$

- A TE N A TE N

System Model

$$\dot{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t)\vec{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{G}(t)\vec{\mathbf{w}}(t)$$
(27)

To obtain the state vector estimate $\hat{\vec{x}}(t)$

$$\mathbb{E}\{\dot{\vec{x}}(t)\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\vec{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t)\hat{\vec{x}}(t)$$
(28)

Solving the above equation over the interval $t - \tau_s$, t

$$\hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \mathbf{e}^{\left(\int_{t-\tau_{s}}^{t} \mathbf{F}(t') dt'\right)} \hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}(t-\tau_{s})$$
(29)

• • • • • • • • • • • •

where \mathbf{F}_{k-1} is the average of \mathbf{F} at times *t* and $t - \tau_s$.

System Model Discretization

As shown in the Kalman filter equations the state vector estimate is given by

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} = \Phi_{k-1}\hat{\vec{x}}_{k-1|k-1}$$

Therefore,

3 1 4 3

System Model Discretization

As shown in the Kalman filter equations the state vector estimate is given by

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} = \Phi_{k-1}\hat{\vec{x}}_{k-1|k-1}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{F}_{k-1}\tau_{s}} \approx \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F}_{k-1}\tau_{s}$$
(30)

where \mathbf{F}_{k-1} is the average of \mathbf{F} at times *t* and $t - \tau_s$, and first order approximation is used.

A B F A B F

Discrete Covariance Matrix Q_k

The solution to (27) is

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{k-1}\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1} + \int_{t-\tau_{s}}^{t} e^{\boldsymbol{F}_{k-1}(t-\eta)} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{G}}_{k-1}\vec{\boldsymbol{w}}(\eta) d\eta$$
(31)

where \mathbf{G}_{k-1} is the average of **G** at times *t* and $t - \tau_s$. Now let's look at the error covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} = \mathbb{E}\{(\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} - \vec{x}_k)(\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} - \vec{x}_k)^T\}$$
(32)

where

$$\hat{\vec{x}}_{k|k-1} - \vec{x}_{k} = \Phi_{k-1}(\hat{\vec{x}}_{k-1|k-1} - \vec{x}_{k}) - \int_{t-\tau_{s}}^{t} e^{\mathbf{F}_{k-1}(t-\eta)} \mathbf{G}_{k-1} \vec{w}(\eta) d\eta$$
(33)

April 11, 2011 19 / 30

(4) (5) (4) (5)

Discrete Covariance Matrix Q_k (cont.)

Since the state estimate errors and the system noise $\vec{\mathbf{w}}(t)$ are uncorrelated

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{k|k-1} &= \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T} + \\ & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \iint_{t-\tau_{s}}^{t} \mathbf{e}^{F_{k-1}(t-\eta)} \mathbf{G}_{k-1} \vec{\mathbf{w}}(\eta) \vec{\mathbf{w}}^{T}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}_{k-1}^{T} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{F}_{k-1}^{T}(t-\zeta)} d\eta d\zeta \right\} \\ &= \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T} + \\ & \iint_{t-\tau_{s}}^{t} \mathbf{e}^{F_{k-1}(t-\eta)} \mathbf{G}_{k-1} \mathbb{E} \{ \vec{\mathbf{w}}(\eta) \vec{\mathbf{w}}^{T}(\zeta) \} \mathbf{G}_{k-1}^{T} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{F}_{k-1}^{T}(t-\zeta)} d\eta d\zeta \\ &= \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{P}_{k-1|k-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{T} + \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$
(34)

Discrete Covariance Matrix Q_k (cont.)

Assuming white noise, small time step, ${\bf G}$ is constant over the integration period, and the trapezoidal integration

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1} \mathbf{G}_{k-1} \mathbf{Q}(t_{k-1}) \mathbf{G}_{k-1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{k-1}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{G}_{k-1} \mathbf{Q}(t_{k-1}) \mathbf{G}_{k-1}^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \tau_{s}$$
(35)

where

$$\mathbb{E}\{\vec{\boldsymbol{w}}(\eta)\vec{\boldsymbol{w}}^{T}(\zeta)\} = \mathbf{Q}(\eta)\delta(\eta - \zeta)$$
(36)

April 11, 2011 21 / 30

Linearized System

$$\mathbf{F}_{k} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \vec{\mathbf{x}}} \Big|_{\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k|k-1}}, \qquad \mathbf{H}_{k} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \vec{\mathbf{x}}} \Big|_{\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \hat{\vec{\mathbf{x}}}_{k|k-1}}$$
(37)

where



April 11, 2011 22 / 30

< ∃ →

First Order Markov Noise

State Equation

$$\dot{n}(t) = -\frac{1}{T_c}n(t) + w(t)$$
 (39)

Autocorrelation Function

$$\mathbb{E}\{\boldsymbol{n}(t)(\boldsymbol{n}(t+\tau)\} = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{e}^{-|\tau|/T_c}$$
(40)

where

$$\mathbb{E}\{w(t)w(t+\tau)\} = \mathsf{Q}(t)\delta(t-\tau)$$
(41)

$$Q(t) = \frac{2\sigma^2}{T_c}$$
(42)

and T_c is the correlation time.

April 11, 2011 23 / 30

Discrete First Order Markov Noise

State Equation

$$n_k = e^{-\frac{1}{T_c}\tau_s} n_{k-1} + w_{k-1}$$
(43)

System Covariance Matrix

$$\mathsf{Q} = \sigma^2 [\mathsf{1} - \mathsf{e}^{-\frac{2}{T_c}\tau_s}] \tag{44}$$

Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 24 / 30

Autocorrelation of 1st order Markov



April 11, 2011 25 / 30

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Small Correlation Time $T_c = 0.01$



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 26 / 30

2

Small Correlation Time $T_c = 0.01$



Larger Correlation Time $T_c = 0.1$



Aly El-Osery (NMT)

EE 570: Location and Navigation

April 11, 2011 27 / 30

2

Larger Correlation Time $T_c = 0.1$



Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF)

Propagates carefully chosen sample points (using unscented transformation) through the true non-linear system, and therefore captures the posterior mean and covariance accurately to the second order.

Particle Filter

A Monte Carlo based method. It allows for a complete representation of the state distribution function. Unlike EKF and UKF, particle filters do not require the Gaussian assumptions.

Bayesian Filtering: From Kalman Filters to Particle Filters, and Beyond, by Zhe Chen



April 11, 2011 30 / 30